

August 11, 2010

Sent via email

David Duster U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 8, 8ENF-T 999 18th Street, Suite 300 Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for July activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear David:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the referenced progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)



August 11, 2010

Sent via U.S. Mail

Charlotte Lomeli, Chairman. Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe 6060 West 3650 North Ivins, Utah 84738

John Krause Bureau of Indian Affairs 400 North 5th Street, Floor 12 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Kelly Youngbear BIA Southern Paiute Agency P.O. Box 720 St. George, UT 84771

RE: Progress report for July 2010 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No.

UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Lomeli, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the referenced progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)

David Duster (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 75 for period ending July 31, 2010; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington County, Utah

Summary

The monthly visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on July 19. No unusual conditions were noted.

Geotechnical Monitoring

Based on the data showing that the facility has experienced consistently low settlement rates over the past three years, Hecla will continue to monitor the facility, however with survey data being collected on an annual basis.

The settlement monitors were surveyed on August 17, 2009. No appreciable movement was noted. MEI prepared a Surface Monument Survey Data Review. Based on surface monitoring survey data collected from January 2006 through August 2009:

- Overall settlement of the reclaimed impoundment top surface continues to be very minor
- Settlement rates continue to slightly decrease
- Monitoring survey will be conducted in August or September

Work Planned for Next Period

Visual inspection of site

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in July 2010 were \$168. Total project to date committed cost is approximately \$1,477,000.

Supplemental Attachments

July 2010 site inspection report

July 2010 cost report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date:	-19-10	,		
Inspector:	D: 1	Rumba)	,	
Cover System Component	Pc	otential Problem ₄	'Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded
Site Perlmeter	Erosion or	r Fencing Issues	NA _.	NA
	Subsidenc	се	Minor: ponding < 1" some gullying / erosion	Yes 🛂 No
			Significant: see Table 2	Yes* No
	Eṃbankm	ent Slope Stability	excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes _* No _
,		on top	depth > 1"	Vac + Na
Cover System (outslopes, top,	<u> </u>	at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	Yes _ * No _
rock)	Gullying	w/in normal flow channel in diversion channei	no gullying allowed	Yes _* No _
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outsione	no gullying allowed	Yes* No
		in diversion channel at any other location	NA	NA
	Erosion Pr	rotection Stability	rock subsiding or missing	Yes * No
	Seepage		no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/crystallization)	Yes ** No
	Diversion (Channel	rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes * No
Runoff Control System	Diversion §	Swales	rock in place, no silting in or head culting	Yes* No
·		siit build up at fence version channel	allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes _ * No _

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and MonItoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

		Inspection	Date:	7-18	- 10	 	_		
		Insi	oector:) - /1	Commo				
				Visible O	utlying Ar	eas			
Observed Condition:	No	Change							
Observed Damage:	Non	يد						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
							May red	ıuire repair: Yes	_* No 🗹
		Pr	perty Bot	undary Fen	ce and Ga	te (walk fence	e line)		
Observed Condition:	Fener	er é Grafa	Renow	i 6. k					
Observed Damage:	Hove						-		
Potential Corrective Actions:	None								
							May rec	uire repair: Yes	_* No 🗹
		All	Upgradie	nt Areas (a	reas that d	rain onto proj	perty)		
Observed Condition:	Mu	Change							
Observed Damage:	Rw	······································							
							May req	uire repair: Yes	_* No _

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - Impoundment

	Inspection Date:		
		Outslopes	
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Excessive Slope Movement (fai	lure): Yes No/	May require repair: Yes* No
	Gully Development:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Observable Leachate (colored):	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Excessive Siltation (at slope to	e): Yes No _	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	UN -		
Potential Corrective p Actions:	10N°		
		Top (top surface soils)	
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width):	Yes No/	May require repair: Yes* No
	Settlement / Evidence of Pondir	ng: Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Erosion / Guilying:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage: N	lan		
Potential Corrective Actions:	Y 641~		
		roston Protection Layer (rock)	
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying in Place: Yes	✓ No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Rock Subsiding: Yes	No <u></u>	May require repair: Yes* No
	Missing Rock: Yes	No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage: /	46014		
Potential Corrective / Actions:	4 Colu		

mark all areas of concern of requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

Date:	7-19-10 D. Trum		
1110000000	U · /jun-	Diversion Channel	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Normai Flow Channei in place	: Yes 🖊 No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	NW-		
Potentiai Corrective Actions:	Nne		
		Diversion Swales	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
	Flow Channel Silting in:	Yes No <a>	May require repair: Yes* No
	Head Cutting:	Yes No	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed Damage:	W cooper		-
Potential Corrective Actions:	NUN		

^{*} Mark aii areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Budget Committed Cost 2004 this Period	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date 7-31-10	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases I through III (Completed February 2006)							
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928	
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000		242,882	0	242,882	
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
Field Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	0	378,517	378,517 includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
Hecla Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	0	33,324	
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	
Long Term Monitoring (through FY 2010)							
Site Inspections			168	191,367	3,346	194,713	
Settlement Monitoring				8,775	1,650	10,425	
Consultant Support:							
Annual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections				2,495		20,595	Includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Vegetation Monitoring			0	0	20,000	20,000	20,000 Allowance for surveys in FY 2008 - 2010
Site Conditions Review - MEI			0	7,669	2,132	9,801	
Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical			0	2,079	i	2,079	
Erosion Repair Review - MEI				2,927	223	3,500	
Revegetation Review - Bamberg					3,500	3,500	
Maintenance:							
Erosion Repair Allowance				21,941	7,500	29,441	29,441 Erosion repair conducted April 2008
Revegetation Allowance				9,912	10,000	19,912	19,912 Revegetation conducted April 2008
Hecia Project Management Costs:			ľ	200			
Travel eventors				7.700	1,909	10,173	
Control of the contro			•		210,1	21 6.1	
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	168	249,431	76,022	325,453	
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715.400	655.018	168	1 476 824	76.022	1 552 846	
VINOS MIN 1 MIN 1 MIN 1						350,400,1	

- 1